

## More Design Issues

1. Subclasses.
2. Keys.
3. Weak entity sets.


## Subclasses

Subclass = special case = fewer entities = more properties.

- Example: Ales are a kind of beer. In addition to the *properties* (= attributes and relationships) of beers, there is a “color” attribute for ales.


## E/R Subclasses

- *isa* triangles indicate the subclass relation.




## Different Subclass Viewpoints

1. *E/R viewpoint*: An entity has a *component* in each entity set to which it logically belongs.
  - ❖ Its properties are the union of the properties of these E.S.
2. Contrasts with *object-oriented viewpoint*: An object (entity) belongs to exactly one class.
  - ❖ It *inherits* properties of its superclasses.



## Multiple Inheritance

Theoretically, an E.S. could be a subclass of several other entity sets.



## Problems

How should conflicts be resolved?

- Example: *manf* means grower for wines, bottler for beers. What does *manf* mean for “grape beers”?
- Need ad-hoc notation to resolve meanings.
- In practice, we shall assume a tree of entity sets connected by *isa*, with all “isas” pointing from child to parent.


## Keys

A *key* is a set of attributes whose values can belong to at most one entity.

- In E/R model, every E.S. must have a key.
  - ❖ It could have more than one key, but one set of attributes is the “designated” key.
- In E/R diagrams, you should underline all attributes of the designated key.


## Example

Suppose *name* is key for *Beers*.



- Beer name is also key for ales.
  - ❖ In general, key at root is key for all.

## Example: A Multiattribute Key



- Possibly, hours + room also forms a key, but we have not designated it as such.



## Weak Entity Sets


Sometimes an E.S.  $E$ 's key comes not (completely) from its own attributes, but from the keys of one or more E.S's to which  $E$  is linked by a *supporting* many-one relationship.

- Called a *weak* E.S.
- Represented by putting double rectangle around  $E$  and a double diamond around each supporting relationship.
- Many-one-ness of supporting relationship (includes 1-1) essential.
  - ❖ With many-many, we wouldn't know which entity provided the key value.

## Example: Logins (Email Addresses)

Login name = user name + host name, e.g.,  
ullman@shalmaneser.stanford.edu.


- A “login” entity corresponds to a user name on a particular host, but the passwd table doesn’t record the host, just the user name, e.g. ullman.
- Key for a login = the user name at the host (which is unique for that host only) + the IP address of the host (which is unique globally).



- Design issue: Under what circumstances could we simply make login-name and host-name be attributes of logins, and dispense with the weak E.S.?


## Example: Chain of “Weakness”

Consider IP addresses consisting of a primary domain (e.g., `edu`) subdomain (e.g., `stanford`), and host (e.g. `shalmaneser`).



- Key for primary domain = its name.
- Key for secondary domain = its name + name of primary domain.
- Key for host = its name + key of secondary domain = its name + name of secondary domain + name of primary domain.

## All “Connecting” Entity Sets Are Weak



- In this special case, where bar and beer determine a price, we can omit price from the key, and remove the double diamond from ThePrice.
- Better: price is attribute of BBP.

## Design Principles


Setting: client has (possibly vague) idea of what he/she wants. You must design a database that represents these thoughts and only these thoughts.

- Avoid redundancy.
  - ❖ Wastes space and encourages inconsistency.
  - ❖ Intuition: something is redundant if it could be hidden from view, and you could still figure out what it is from the other data.
- KISS = keep it simple, students.
  - ❖ Avoid intermediate concepts.


- Faithfulness to requirements.
  - ❖ Remember the design *schema* should enforce as many constraints as possible. Don't rely on future data to follow assumptions.
  - ❖ Example: If registrar wants to associate only one instructor with a course, don't allow sets of instructors and count on departments to enter only one instructor per course.

## Example


Good:



Bad (redundancy): repeats manufacturer address for each beer they manufacture.



Bad (needless intermediate):



- Question: Why is it OK to have *Beers* with just its key as attribute? Why not make set of beers an attribute of manufacturers?