Lexical and Syntax Analysis

Part I

1

Introduction

- Every implementation of Programming Languages (i.e. a compiler) uses a Lexical Analyzer and a Syntax Analyzer in its initial stages.
- The Lexical Analyzer tokenizes the input program
- The syntax analyzer, referred to as a parser, checks for syntax of the input program and generates a parse tree.
- Parsers almost always rely on a CFG that specifies the syntax of the programs.
- In this section, we study the **inner workings** of Lexical Analyzers and Parsers
- The algorithms that go into building lexical analyzers and parsers rely on **automata and formal language theory** that forms the foundations for these systems.

Lexemes and Tokens

- A lexical analyzer collects characters into groups (**lexemes**) and assigns an internal code (a **token**) to each group.
- Lexemes are recognized by matching the input against **patterns**.
- Tokens are usually <u>coded as integer values</u>, but for the sake of readability, they are often referenced through <u>named constants</u>.

```
Example assignment statement (tokens/lexemes shown to the right):
result = oldsum - value / 100;
```

- In earlier compilers, entire input used to be read by Lexical analyzer and a file of tokens/lexemes produced. Modern day lexers provide the **next token** when requested.
- Other tasks performed by Lexers: skip comments and white space;
 Detect syntactic errors in tokens
 3

Token	Lexeme
IDENT	result
ASSIGN	=
IDENT	olds
SUB	-
IDENT	value
DIV	/
INT_LIT	100
SEMI	;

Lexical Analysis (continued)

Approaches to building a lexical analyzer:

- Write a formal description of the token patterns of the language and use a software tool such as PLY to automatically generate a lexical analyzer. We have seen this earlier!
- Design a **state transition diagram** that describes the token patterns of the language and **write a program** that implements the diagram. We will develop this in this section.
- Design a state transition diagram that describes the token patterns of the language and **hand-construct a table-driven implementation** of the state diagram.

A state transition diagram, or state diagram, is a **directed graph**. The **nodes** are labeled with state names. The **edges** are labeled with input characters. An edge may also include **actions** to be done when the transition is taken.

Lexical Analyzer: An implementation

- Consider the problem of building a Lexical Analyzer that recognizes lexemes that appear in **arithmetic expressions**, including variable names and integers.
- Names consist of uppercase letters, lowercase letters, and digits, but must begin with a letter. Names have no length limitations.
- To simplify the state transition diagram, we will treat all letters the same way; so instead of 52 transitions or edges, we will have just one edge labeled **Letter**. Similarly for digits, we will use the label **Digit**.
- The following "actions" will be useful to visualize when thinking about the Lexical Analyzer:

getChar: read the next character from the input

addChar: add the character to the end of the lexeme being recognized

getNonBlank: skip white space

lookup: find the token for single character lexemes

Lexical Analyzer: An implementation (continued)



A state diagram that recognizes names, integer literals, parentheses, and arithmetic operators.

Shows how to recognize **one** lexeme; The process will be repeated until EOF.

The diagram includes actions on each edge.

Next, we will look at a Python program that implements this state diagram to tokenize arithmetic expressions.

Lexical Analyzer: An implementation (in Python; TokenTypes.py)

TokenTypes.py

import enum

class TokenTypes(enum.Enum):

LPAREN = 1 RPAREN = 2 ADD = 3 SUB = 4 MUL = 5 DIV = 6 ID = 7 INT = 8 EOF = 0

Lexical Analyzer: An implementation (Token.py)

Token.py

```
class Token:
def __init__(self,tok,value):
    self._t = tok
    self._c = value
def __str__(self):
    if self._t.value == TokenTypes.ID.value:
        return "<" + self._t + ":"+ self._c + ">"
    elif self._t.value == TokenTypes.INT.value:
        return "<" + self._c + ">"
    else:
        return self._t
def get_token(self):
    return self._t
def get_value(self):
    return self._c
```

Lexical Analyzer: An implementation (Lexer.py)

```
import sys
from TokenTypes import *
from Token import *
```

```
# Lexical analyzer for arithmetic expressions which
# include variable names and positive integer literals
# e.g. (sum + 47) / total
```

```
class Lexer:
```

```
def __init__(self,s):
    self._index = 0
    self._tokens = self.tokenize(s)
def tokenize(self,s):
    result = []
    i = 0
    while i < len(s):
        c = s[i]
        if c == '(':
            result.append(Token(TokenTypes.LPAREN, "("))
            i = i + 1
        elif c == ')':
            result.append(Token(TokenTypes.RPAREN, ")"))
        i = i + 1
```

```
elif c == '+':
  result.append(Token(TokenTypes.ADD, "+"))
  i = i + 1
elif c == '-':
  result.append(Token(TokenTypes.SUB, "-"))
  i = i + 1
elif c == '*':
  result.append(Token(TokenTypes.MUL, "*"))
  i = i + 1
elif c == '/':
  result.append(Token(TokenTypes.DIV, "/"))
  i = i + 1
elif c in ' \r\n\t':
  i = i + 1
  continue
elif c.isdigit():
  j = i
  while j < len(s) and s[j].isdigit():</pre>
    j = j + 1
  result.append(Token(TokenTypes.INT,s[i:j]))
  i = j
```

Lexical Analyzer: An implementation (Lexer.py)

```
elif c.isalpha():
     j = i
     while j < len(s) and s[j].isalnum():</pre>
       j = j + 1
     result.append(Token(TokenTypes.ID,s[i:j]))
     i = j
   else:
     print("UNEXPECTED CHARACTER ENCOUNTERED: "+c)
     sys.exit(-1)
 result.append(Token(TokenTypes.EOF, "-1"))
 return result
def lex(self):
 t = None
 if self. index < len(self. tokens):</pre>
   t = self. tokens[self. index]
   self. index = self. index + 1
 print("Next Token is: "+str(t.get token())+", Next lexeme is "+t.get value())
 return t
```

Lexical Analyzer: An implementation (LexerTest.py)

LexerTest.py

main()

Go to live demo.

Lexical Analyzer: An implementation (Sample Run)

macbook-pro:handCodedLexerRecursiveDescentParser raj\$ python3 LexerTest.py Tokenizing (sum + 47) / total Next Token is: TokenTypes.LPAREN, Next lexeme is (Next Token is: TokenTypes.ID, Next lexeme is sum Next Token is: TokenTypes.ADD, Next lexeme is + Next Token is: TokenTypes.INT, Next lexeme is 47 Next Token is: TokenTypes.RPAREN, Next lexeme is) Next Token is: TokenTypes.DIV, Next lexeme is / Next Token is: TokenTypes.ID, Next lexeme is / Next Token is: TokenTypes.ID, Next lexeme is total Next Token is: TokenTypes.EOF, Next lexeme is -1

Introduction to Parsing

- Syntax analysis is often referred to as parsing.
- A parser checks to see if the input program is syntactically correct and constructs a parse tree.
- When an error is found, a parser must produce a diagnostic message and recover. Recovery is required so that the compiler finds as many errors as possible.
- Parsers are categorized according to the direction in which they build the parse tree:
 - **Top-down** parsers build the parse tree from the root downwards to the leaves.
 - **Bottom-up** parsers build the parse tree from the leaves upwards to the root.

Notational Conventions

Terminal symbols — Lowercase letters at the beginning of the alphabet (a, b, ...) *Nonterminal symbols* — Uppercase letters at the beginning of the alphabet (A, B, ...) *Terminals or nonterminals* — Uppercase letters at the end of the alphabet (W, X, Y, Z) *Strings of terminals* — Lowercase letters at the end of the alphabet (w, x, y, z) *Mixed strings (terminals and/or nonterminals)* — Lowercase Greek letters (α , β , γ , δ)

Top-Down Parser

- A top-down parser traces or builds the parse tree in **preorder**: each node is visited before its branches are followed.
- The actions taken by a top-down parser correspond to a **leftmost derivation**.
- Given a sentential form $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{A}\alpha$ that is part of a leftmost derivation, a top-down parser's task is to find the next sentential form in that leftmost derivation.
 - Determining the next sentential form is a matter of choosing the correct grammar rule that has **A** as its left-hand side (LHS).
 - If the A-rules are $A \rightarrow bB$, $A \rightarrow cBb$, and $A \rightarrow a$, the next sentential form could be $xbB\alpha$, $xcBb\alpha$, or $xa\alpha$.
 - The most commonly used top-down parsing algorithms **choose** an A-rule based on the **token** that would be the **first generated by** A.

Top-Down Parser (continued)

- The most common top-down parsing algorithms are closely related:
 - A **recursive-descent parser** is coded directly from the CFG description of the syntax of a language.
 - An alternative is to use a **parsing table** rather than code.
- Both are LL algorithms, and both are equally powerful. The first L in LL specifies a leftto-right scan of the input; the second L specifies that a leftmost derivation is generated.
- We will look at a hand-written recursive-descent parser later in this section (in Python).

Bottom-Up Parser

- A bottom-up parser constructs a parse tree by **beginning at the leaves** and progressing **toward the root**. This parse order corresponds to the **reverse** of a **rightmost derivation**.
- Given a right sentential form α, a bottom-up parser must determine what substring of α is the right-hand side (RHS) of the rule that must be **reduced** to its LHS to produce the **previous** right sentential form.
- A given right sentential form may include more than one RHS from the grammar. The correct RHS to reduce is called the **handle**. As an example, consider the following grammar and derivation (shown twice):

S	:	aAc	S	=>	aAc	=>	aaAc	=>	aa <mark>b</mark> c
А	:	aA	c	->	⊃ ∆c	->		->	aabo
А	:	b	0	-/	anc	-/	aanc	-/	aabc

•

A bottom-up parser can easily find the first handle, **b**, since it is the only RHS of a rule. After replacing b by the corresponding LHS, we get aaAc, the previous right sentential form. Finding the next handle is more difficult because both aAc and aA are potential handles.

Bottom-Up Parser (continued)

- A bottom-up parser finds the handle of a given right sentential form by **examining** the symbols on **one or both sides** of a possible handle.
- The most common bottom-up parsing algorithms are in the LR family. The L specifies a left-to-right scan and the R specifies that a rightmost derivation is generated.
- Time Complexity
 - Parsing algorithms that work for any grammar are inefficient. The worst-case complexity of common parsing algorithms is O(n³), making them impractical for use in compilers.
 - Faster algorithms work for only a subset of all possible grammars. These algorithms are acceptable as long as they can parse grammars that describe programming languages.
 - Parsing algorithms used in commercial compilers have complexity O(n).

Recursive-Descent Parsing

- A recursive-descent parser consists of a collection of functions, many of which are recursive; it produces a parse tree in top-down order.
- A recursive-descent parser has one function for each nonterminal in the grammar.
- Consider the expression grammar below (written in EBNF extended BNF notation):

```
<expr> : <term> {(+|-) <term>}
<term> : <factor> {(*|/) <factor>}
<factor> : ID | INT_CONSTANT |( <expr> )
```

These rules can be used to construct a recursive-descent function named expr that parses arithmetic expressions.

The lexical analyzer is assumed to be a function named lex. It reads a lexeme and puts its token code in the global variable next_token. Token codes are defined as named constants.

- Writing the recursive-descent functions are quite simple
- We assume two global variables: lexer_object and next_token; Initially the first token is retrieved into next_token and then the function for the start symbol is called:

```
import sys
from Lexer import *
next_token = None
l = None
def main():
  global next_token
  global 1
  l = Lexer(sys.argv[1])
  next_token = l.lex()
  expr()
  if next_token.get_token().value == TokenTypes.EOF.value:
      print("PARSE SUCCEEDED")
  else:
      print("PARSE FAILED")
```

The function for <expr> is shown below. For each terminal symbol on the RHS of the rule, the current value of next_token is matched to that terminal and for each non-terminal the corresponding function is called. When the function exits, it is made sure that next_token contains the value of the next token beyond what matches <expr>

The function for <term> is similar to the function for <expr>

```
# factor
                                                                              def error(s):
# Parses strings in the language generated by the rules:
                                                                                print("SYNTAX ERROR: "+s)
    <factor> -> ID
#
#
  <factor> -> INT CONSTANT
# <factor> -> ( <expr> )
def factor():
  global next token
                                                                      The function for <factor> checks to see if the
  global 1
  print("Enter <factor>")
                                                                      next_token matches ID or INT CONSTANT; if
  if next token.get token().value == TokenTypes.ID.value or \
                                                                      matched, the function exits.
     next token.get token().value == TokenTypes.INT.value:
    next token = l.lex()
  else: # if the RHS is ( <expr> ), pass over (, call expr, check for )
    if next token.get token().value == TokenTypes.LPAREN.value:
      next token = l.lex()
      expr()
      if next token.get token().value == TokenTypes.RPAREN.value:
                                                                      otherwise it matches a left parenthesis, then
        next token = l.lex()
                                                                      calls the function for <expr> and then matches
      else:
        error("Expecting RPAREN")
                                                                      the right parenthesis. This function also makes
        sys.exit(-1)
                                                                      sure next token contains the next token
    else:
      error("Expecting LPAREN")
                                                                      beyond the match for <factor>
      sys.exit(-1)
  print("Exit <factor>")
```

Show Demo

Recursive-Descent Parsing

Sample run:

\$ python3 Parser.py "(sum + 20)/30" Next Token is: TokenTypes.LPAREN, Next lexeme is (Enter <expr> Enter <term> Enter <factor> Next Token is: TokenTypes.ID, Next lexeme is sum Enter <expr> Enter <term> Enter <factor> Next Token is: TokenTypes.ADD, Next lexeme is + Exit <factor> Exit <term> Next Token is: TokenTypes.INT, Next lexeme is 20 Enter <term> Enter <factor> Next Token is: TokenTypes.RPAREN, Next lexeme is) Exit <factor> Exit <term> Exit <expr> Next Token is: TokenTypes.DIV, Next lexeme is / Exit <factor> Next Token is: TokenTypes.INT, Next lexeme is 30 Enter <factor> Next Token is: TokenTypes.EOF, Next lexeme is -1 Exit <factor> Exit <term> Exit <expr> PARSE SUCCEEDED

Recursive-Descent Parsing: if-then-else stmt

```
<ifstmt> > if ( <boolexpr> ) <statement> [else <statement>]
def ifstmt():
  global next token
  qlobal 1
  if next token.get token().value != TokenTypes.IF.value:
    error("Expecting IF")
  else:
    next token = 1.lex()
    if next token.get token().value != TokenTypes.LPAREN.value:
      error("Expecting LPAREN")
    else:
      next token = l.lex()
      boolexpr()
      if next token.get token().value != TokenTypes.RPAREN.value:
        error("Expecting RPAREN")
      else:
        next token = l.lex()
        statement()
        if next token.get token().value == TokenTypes.ELSE.value:
          next token = l.lex()
          statement()
```